Distribution and abundance of sealworm (Pseudoterranova decipiens) and other anisakid nematodes in fish and seals in the Gulf of St. Lawrence: potential importance of climatic conditions
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ABSTRACT

Prevalence and abundance of sealworm (Pseudoterranova decipiens) and other anisakid nematodes were determined in a variety of fishes from the Gulf of St. Lawrence in 1990 and 1992. Sealworm abundance and prevalence were also determined in three species of seals in the Gulf between 1988 and 1992. Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) and shorthorn (Myoxocephalus scorpius) and longhorn sculpin (M. octodecemspinus) were the fishes most heavily infected with sealworm. Grey seals (Halichoerus grypus) proved to be the most important definitive hosts for sealworm in the Gulf. Abundance of sealworm increased, whereas that of Anisakis simplex and contracaecine nematodes decreased, from north to south in the Gulf. Abundance of sealworm increased compared to earlier surveys in most areas of the Gulf, but decreased in both cod and grey seals during the course of this study. In contrast, abundance of Contracaecum osculatum and Phocascaris spp. in grey seals and cod continued to increase during the study period. Observed increases of nematodes are attributed to growing populations of grey seals (for sealworm) and harp seals (for Contracaecinea). Levels of A. simplex remained relatively constant between 1988 and 1992 in both grey seals and cod. There is no evidence suggesting that observed patterns in nematode abundance were due to changes in grey seal diet. Nor was there any evidence of competition between P. decipiens and C. osculatum in grey seals affecting either sealworm abundance or fecundity. The trends detected herein are attributed to climatic events in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, where water temperatures in the cold intermediate layer consistently decreased between 1986 and 1994. It is suggested that low temperatures inhibited development and hatching of sealworm eggs, but not those of C. osculatum.

INTRODUCTION

Sealworm (*Pseudoterranova decipiens*) is an anisakid nematode found in the flesh of commercially important groundfish such as Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). This parasite poses a cosmetic problem for the fishing industry in North Atlantic waters, as its large larvae are easily visible and distasteful to consumers. Losses to the fishing industry resulting from costs associated with manual removal of larvae, degradation of fish product and discarding of heavily infected fillets were estimated to be approximately $30 million (Canadian) for cod alone in eastern Canada in 1982 (Malouf 1986).

Definitive hosts for sealworm are phocids, the most important being the grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) (Mansfield and Beck 1977, McClelland 1980). Among fishes, sealworm are found primarily in demersal and not pelagic fishes (McClelland et al. 1990). Over 60 species are infected in the North Atlantic alone (McClelland et al. 1990). While three sibling species of *P. decipiens* exist in the North Atlantic, designated A, B, and C (Paggi et al. 1991), it is presumed that sealworm from the Gulf of St. Lawrence belong to *P. decipiens* B (see Boily and Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese et al. 1996, Marcogliese, 2001), now formally referred to as *P. decipiens* (sensu stricto) (Paggi et al. 2000).

In addition to sealworm, phocids and fishes in eastern Canada are infected with other anisakid nematodes, including *Anisakis simplex*, *Contra-caecium osculatum* and *Phocascaris* spp. (McClelland et al. 1983). These anisakids are found in seal stomachs, with *Phocascaris* sp. also found in the anterior portion of the intestine (Brattey and Stenson 1993). However, cestaceans are the normal definitive host for *A. simplex* (van Thiel 1986). In fishes, *C. osculatum* and *A. simplex* and *Phocascaris* spp. typically occur in the viscera, with some *A. simplex* also inhabiting the flesh, especially the flaps (McClelland et al. 1983).

In this paper long-term trends in abundance of sealworm in fish and seals in the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Fig. 1) are summarised and examined in the context of various hypotheses proposed by Marcogliese et al. (1996). In addition, temporal changes in distribution and abundance of other anisakid species including the *Contra-caecinae* (C. osculatum, *Phocascaris* and *A. simplex*) in fish and seals are examined over the same time period to help evaluate the long-term patterns observed for sealworm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Atlantic cod were collected from various areas in the Gulf of St. Lawrence in 1990 and 1992 as indicated in Boily and Marcogliese (1995). Sampling, technical, and analytical procedures are described therein. Data from McClelland et al. (1983, 1985) are used graphically for long-term comparisons with 1990 and 1992. Given that there is little information indicating that sealworm varies among groundfish, observations in cod are considered representative of trends in most groundfish species. Similarly, McClelland et al. (1985) demonstrated that geographic variations in sealworm abundance in American plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) were similar to those in cod.

Grey seals, harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*), and harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) were collected in 1988 and 1992 from various sites in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The harbour seal data has not been presented previously. Harbour seals were collected from Les Escoumins (n = 7) in 1988 and Anticosti Island (n = 4) in 1992. Descriptions of seal sampling and processing, and analytical procedures, are presented in Marcogliese et al. (1996).

Abundance is defined as the mean number of parasites of a given species in a host sample, including both infected and uninfected animals. Variations in anisakid nematode abundance between 1988 and 1992 were analyzed using one-way and two-way ANOVAs (Boily and Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese et al. 1996). Comparisons with earlier surveys of nematode abundance in fish and seals are qualitative and descriptive.

RESULTS

Trends in sealworm abundance

Typically, with the exception of a sample of Atlantic cod from Anticosti Island in 1990, sealworm abundance is much higher in fish (Templeman et al. 1957, McClelland et al. 1985, 1987, Boily and Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese 1995) and seals (Marcogliese et al. 1996) from the southern Gulf than from the northern Gulf.

Sealworm has been common in groundfish in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence since studies were first undertaken in the 1940s and 1950s, and presumably before that. Sealworm abundance was high in southern Gulf cod and flatfish in the early surveys of Scott and Martin (1957) and Templeman et al. (1957), and in 1980-81 (McClelland et al. 1983). Infections tended to increase in American plaice in the southern Gulf between 1983 and 1985 (McClelland et al. 1987), through to 1992 (Boily and Marcogliese 1995). Sealworm abundance in southeastern Gulf inshore cod and in cod from Bradelle Bank was greater in 1990 than in 1981 (McClelland et al. 1983, Boily and Marcogliese 1995).

In the northern Gulf, sealworm abundance increased between the 1950s and the 1980s (Templeman et al. 1957, McClelland et al. 1985) in both Atlantic cod and American plaice, and continued to increase in place through the 1980s (McClelland et al. 1987). A drastic and significant decrease in abundance of sealworm was observed in cod from St. Georges Bay and Bradelle Bank in the southern Gulf and near Anticosti Island in the northern Gulf between 1990 and 1992 (Fig. 2) (Boily and Marcogliese 1995). A corresponding significant decline was also observed in longhorn cod.
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RESULTS
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Typically, with the exception of a sample of Atlantic cod from Anticosti Island in 1990, sealworm abundance is much higher in fish (Templeman et al. 1957, McClelland et al. 1985, 1987, Boily and Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese 1995) and seals (Marcogliese et al. 1996) from the southern Gulf than from the northern Gulf.

Sealworm has been common in groundfish in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence since studies were first undertaken in the 1940s and 1950s, and presumably before that. Sealworm abundance was high in southern Gulf cod and flatfish in the early surveys of Scott and Martin (1957) and Templeman et al. (1957), and in 1980-81 (McClelland et al. 1983). Infections tended to increase in American plaice in the southern Gulf between 1983 and 1985 (McClelland et al. 1987), through to 1992 (Boily and Marcogliese 1995). Sealworm abundance in southeastern Gulf inshore cod and in cod from Bradelle Bank was greater in 1990 than in 1981 (McClelland et al. 1983, Boily and Marcogliese 1995).

In the northern Gulf, sealworm abundance increased between the 1950s and the 1980s (Templeman et al. 1957, McClelland et al. 1985) in both Atlantic cod and American plaice, and continued to increase in place through the 1980s (McClelland et al. 1987). A drastic and significant decrease in abundance of sealworm was observed in cod from St. Georges Bay and Bradelle Bank in the southern Gulf and near Anticosti Island in the northern Gulf between 1990 and 1992 (Fig. 2) (Boily and Marcogliese 1995). A corresponding significant decline was also observed in longhorn.
sculpin (Myoxocephalus octodecemspinosus) and white hake (Urophycis tenuis) (Marcogliese 1995). The most heavily infected fish in the Gulf in 1990-92 were Atlantic cod, American plaice, longhorn sculpin, shorthorn sculpin (Myoxocephalus scorpius) and ocean pout (Macrozoarces americanus) (Boily and Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese 1995).

Similarly, sealworm levels increased in grey seals in 1988 from the earlier surveys of Scott and Fisher (1958) in 1948 and 1956 and McClelland (1980) in 1975-78 (Marcogliese et al. 1996). However, as in Atlantic cod and other fishes, P. decipiens abundance decreased in all age groups of Anticosti seals, and significantly so in adults, between 1988 and 1992 (Fig. 3) (Marcogliese et al. 1996). Harp seals were only lightly infected, with few adult sealworm found (Marcogliese et al. 1996). Harbour seals from Anticosti Island and Les Escoumins were more heavily infected than grey seals from the same areas (Fig. 3).

Trends in abundance of Contracaecinea

Third stage larvae of Contracaecum osculatum and Phocascaris spp. from fish are extremely difficult to differentiate (McClelland et al. 1985, Brattey 1995). In fish surveys the two are often combined and referred to as Contracaecinea (Arthur and Albert 1993, Arthur et al. 1995, Boily and Marcogliese 1995). Levels are normally higher in Atlantic cod (McClelland et al. 1983, McClelland et al. 1985, Boily and Marcogliese 1995) and grey seals (Marcogliese et al. 1996) from the northern Gulf compared to those from the southern Gulf. The most important definitive host of Contracaecum osculatum is considered to be the harp seal (McClelland et al. 1985, Brattey and Ni 1992, Marcogliese et al. 1996), and that of Phocascaris spp., the hooded seal (Cystophora cristata) (Berland 1963, McClelland et al. 1983, Brattey and Stenson 1993). Contracaecinea found in southern Gulf fishes are considered to be primarily Contracaecum osculatum on the basis of morphological criteria (McClelland et al. 1983, McClelland et al. 1985) combined with the distribution of hooded seals and the limited presence of Phocascaris spp., in seals from the southern Gulf (Marcogliese et al. 1996). Two sibling species of C. osculatum occur in Canadian waters south of Labrador (Brattey and Stenson 1993, Nascetti et al. 1993). One (Type A) occurs mainly in bearded seals (Ergignathus barbatus), which are rare in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The second type, C. osculatum B, is primarily a parasite of harp seals but also occurs in grey seals. Thus, it is presumed that parasites in the Gulf are C. osculatum B.
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Third stage larvae of *Contracaecum osculatum* and *Phocascaris* spp. from fish are extremely difficult to differentiate (McClelland et al. 1983, Bradtey and Stenson 1993). *Contracaecum osculatum* is considered to be the harp seal (*Phoca groenlandica*) (McClelland et al. 1983, McClelland et al. 1985) and grey seals (Marcogliese et al. 1996) from the northern Gulf compared to those from the southern Gulf. The most important definitive host of *Contracaecum osculatum* is considered to be the harp seal (McClelland et al. 1985, Brattey and Ni 1992, McClelland et al. 1996), and that of *Phocascaris* spp., the hooded seal (*Cystophora cristata*) (Berland 1963, McClelland et al. 1983, Brattey and Stenson 1993). *Contracaecinea* found in southern Gulf fishes are considered to be primarily *Contracaecum osculatum* on the basis of morphological criteria (McClelland et al. 1983, McClelland et al. 1985) combined with the distribution of hooded seals and the limited presence of *Phocascaris* spp., in seals from the southern Gulf (Marcogliese et al. 1996). Two sibling species of *C. osculatum* occur in Canadian waters south of Labrador (Brattey and Stenson 1993, Nascetti et al. 1993). One (Type A) occurs mainly in bearded seals (*Erignathus barbatus*), which are rare in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The second type, *C. osculatum* B, is primarily a parasite of harp seals but also occurs in grey seals. Thus, it is presumed that parasites in the Gulf are *C. osculatum* B.
Among Phocascaris spp., *P. cystophorae* is more common in grey seals from the Northwest Atlantic than is *P. phocae*, but recombinants do occur (Paggi and Bullini 1994). Therefore specimens are referred to collectively as *Phocascaris* spp. Among fishes, contracaecines are found in both demersal and pelagic fishes (McClelland et al. 1985, Boily and Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese 1995).


Abundance of *C. osculatum* increased in harp seals between the 1950s and 1989-92 in harp seals from the Magdalen Islands (Myers 1957, Scott and Fisher 1958, Marcogliese et al. 1996), and all age groups of grey seals (significantly in pups and old adults > 10 years of age) from Anticosti Island between 1988 and 1992 (Fig. 5) (Marcogliese et al. 1996). Grey and harp seals were the most heavily infected with *C. osculatum*, with few worms found in harbour seals in the Gulf (Fig. 5). Abundance of *Phocascaris* spp. generally was low in Gulf seal stomachs, but higher in the northern Gulf compared to the southern Gulf. Among Anticosti grey seals, abundance increased significantly between 1988 and 1992 (Fig. 6) (Marcogliese et al. 1996).
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Grey and harbour seals were the most heavily infected, with few *Phocascaris* spp. found in harp seal stomachs (Fig. 6).

**Trends in whaleworm abundance**

The whaleworm (*Anisakis simplex*) uses cetaceans as a definitive host, but can be found in phocid stomachs, where it rarely matures (Brattey and Stenson 1993, Marcogliese et al. 1996). Pelagic fishes tend to be heavily infected, though demersal piscivores may carry large infections (McClelland et al. 1990). Whaleworm infect more than 75 species of fish in the North Atlantic (McClelland et al. 1990). Of the two sibling species which occur (Orrechia et al. 1986), *A. simplex* B is the most common off northeastern North America (Brattey and Clark 1992).

Abundance levels of *A. simplex* typically are lower in the southern Gulf than in the northern Gulf in both Atlantic cod (McClelland et al. 1983, Boily and Marcogliese 1995) and grey seals (Marcogliese et al. 1996). Since the early 1980s, the abundance of *A. simplex* in cod from the different areas of the Gulf has remained relatively constant (Fig. 7) (McClelland et al. 1983, 1985, Boily and Marcogliese 1995). No significant differences in abundance were detected between 1990 and 1992 in Gulf cod (Boily and Marcogliese 1995) nor between 1988 and 1992 in Gulf grey seals (Fig. 8) (Marcogliese et al. 1996). Among fishes in the Gulf, Atlantic cod, shorthorn sculpin, Greenland halibut and Atlantic herring (*Clupea harengus*) were the most heavily infected in 1990-92 (Arthur and Albert 1993, Boily and Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese 1995). Among Gulf seals, both harp and harbour seals were very lightly infected compared to grey seals in 1988-92 (Fig. 8).

**DISCUSSION**

**Unexpected patterns and potential explanations**

Increases in abundance of *P. decipiens* and *C. osculatum* in fish and seals in the Gulf of St. Lawrence until 1988-90 are attributed to large increases in the populations of grey and harp seals in the Gulf (Zwanenburg and Bowen 1990, Stenson et al. 1993, Hammull et al. 1998). However, the decline in abundance of sealworm in both fish and seals between 1988/90 and 1992 is puzzling. Three hypotheses have been
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**DISCUSSION**

Unexpected patterns and potential explanations

Increases in abundance of *P. decipiens* and *C. osculatum* in fish and seals in the Gulf of St. Lawrence until 1988-90 are attributed to large increases in the populations of grey and harp seals in the Gulf (Zwanenburg and Bowen 1990, Stenson et al. 1993, Hammull et al. 1998). However, the decline in abundance of sealworm in both fish and seals between 1988/1990 and 1992 is puzzling. Three hypotheses have been
proposed to explain these recent trends (Marcogliese et al. 1996). First, changes in diet of seals, such that in 1988 seals fed more on demersal fish and in 1992 more on pelagic fish, could account for a decrease in sealworm and a concomitant increase in C. oscillatum in the Gulf. This change in diet may have been precipitated by the collapse of groundfish stocks in the Northwest Atlantic (Sinclair 1993). Second, there may be competitive interactions between the two nematode species in the seal stomach, with C. oscillatum being dominant and displacing sealworm (McClelland et al. 1985, Burt 1994). McClelland et al. (1985) observed that sealworm abundance in the Gulf of St. Lawrence was relatively stable, despite large increases in the size of the grey seal populations, whereas sealworm levels had increased on the Scotian Shelf. Incidentally, levels of C. oscillatum were high in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, but low on the Scotian Shelf. Using an in vitro culture system to grow P. decipiens and C. oscillatum (Likely and Burt 1989, 1992), Burt (1994) found that sealworm fecundity was reduced when reared in the presence of C. oscu-

Fig. 8 Abundance (mean number per seal) of sealworm (Anisakis simplex) in grey seals (Halichoerus grypus), harp seals (Phoca groenlandica), and harbour seals (Phoca vitulina) from various areas in the Gulf of St. Lawrence between 1988 and 1992. Numbers above each histogram refer to the sample size.

Hypothesis 1: seal diets

Samples from the same seals from Anticosti Island were used in both the diet and the para-
site studies in 1988 and 1992. In contrast to ex-
pectations, grey seals from Anticosti Island fed more on pelagic prey, especially capelin, and less on Atlantic cod, in 1988 than did those sampled in 1992 (Proust 1996). Thus, sealworm should not have decreased in abundance. Moreover, an increase in pelagic consumption should have led to an increase in the abundance of sealworm, but its abundance remained sta-
ble (Boily and Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese et al. 1996). Alterations in diet cannot account for the observed changes in nematode abund-
ance, where P. decipiens decreased, contracaec-
ines increased, and A. simplex remained un-
changed between 1988 and 1992 (Marcogliese et al. 1996). The grey seals apparently could find sufficient Atlantic cod to eat in 1992, de-
spite the collapse of the groundfish stocks.

Hypothesis 2: competition

No effect of C. oscillatum abundance on seal-
worm abundance in seal stomachs was observ-
ed in seals from Newfoundland (Brattey and Stenson 1993) or the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Marcogliese et al. 1996). In addition, Marco-
gliese et al. (1996) could not detect any effect of C. oscillatum abundance on the proportion of adult sealworm occurring in grey seals in the Gulf. Thus, development and maturation of sealworm does not seem to be affected by C. oscu-

Fig. 9 Temperature profile in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, showing warm surface layer, cold intermediate lay-
er, and warm deep waters. Dotted line represents expansion of the cold intermediate layer with decreasing temperatures.

Hypothesis 3: abiotic effects

The Gulf of St. Lawrence is stratified into three layers most of the year, with a warm surface layer (30-50 m), a cold intermediate layer (30-
100 m) typically defined by water temperatures less than 2 °C, and deep waters at about 4-5 °C (Fig. 9). Water temperatures became progressively colder in the Gulf of St. Lawrence after 1986, with the cold intermediate layer becom-
ing progressively colder and thicker, increasing the bottom surface area covered with waters less than 0 °C in the process (Fig. 10; Gilbert et al. 1996). Grey seals typically forage and presum-
ably defecate at depths within the cold in-

termediate layer (Thompson et al. 1991). Anisakid nematode eggs are passed into the water with the feces of the definitive host. Sealworm eggs sink in sea water at a velocity of 1.01 x 10^-4 m/sec (McConnell et al. 1997). Eggs of both sealworm and C. oscillatum hatch at 1.7°C (Brattey 1990). However, only eggs of C. oscu-
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proposed to explain these recent trends (Marcogliese et al. 1996). First, changes in diet of seals, such that in 1988 seals fed more on demersal fish and in 1992 more on pelagic fish, could account for a decrease in sealworm and a concomitant increase in C. osculatum in the Gulf. This change in diet may have been precipitated by the collapse of groundfish stocks in the Northwest Atlantic (Sinclair 1993). Second, there may be competitive interactions between the two nematode species in the seal stomach, with C. osculatum being dominant and displacing sealworm (McClelland et al. 1985; Burt 1994). McClelland et al. (1985) observed that sealworm abundance in the Gulf of St. Lawrence was relatively stable, despite large increases in the size of the grey seal population, whereas sealworm levels had increased on the Scotian Shelf. Coincidentally, levels of C. osculatum were high in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, but low on the Scotian Shelf. Using an in vitro culture system to grow P. decipiens and C. osculatum (Likely and Burt 1989, 1992), Burt (1994) found that sealworm fecundity was reduced when reared in the presence of C. osculatum. Third, free-living stages of the two parasite species may respond differently to abiotic conditions, thus leading to opposite trends in population abundance (Marcogliese et al. 1996).

Hypothesis 1: seal diets

Samples from the same seals from Anticosti Island were used in both the diet and the parasite studies in 1988 and 1992. In contrast to expectations, grey seals from Anticosti Island fed more on pelagic prey, especially capelin, and less on Atlantic cod, in 1988 than did those sampled in 1992 (Proust 1996). Thus, sealworm should not have decreased in abundance. Moreover, an increase in pelagic consumption should have led to an increase in the abundance of whalworm, but its abundance remained stable (Boily and Marcogliese 1995, Marcogliese et al. 1996). Alterations in diet cannot account for the observed changes in nematode abundance, where P. decipiens decreased, contraeaces increased, and A. simplex remained unchanged between 1988 and 1992 (Marcogliese et al. 1996). The grey seals apparently could find sufficient Atlantic cod to eat in 1992, despite the collapse of the groundfish stocks.

Hypothesis 2: competition

No effect of C. osculatum abundance on sealworm abundance in seal stomachs was observed in seals from Newfoundland (Brattey and Stenson 1993) or the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Marcogliese et al. 1996). In addition, Marcogliese et al. (1996) could not detect any effect of C. osculatum abundance on the proportion of adult sealworm occurring in grey seals in the Gulf. Thus, development and maturation of sealworm does not seem to be affected by C. osculatum. Lastly, the fecundity of individual sealworms is density-independent at intensities observed in grey seals from Anticosti Island; it is not affected by the density of sealworms or of C. osculatum. Thus, no density-dependent effects of C. osculatum on sealworm abundance, development, or fecundity would be expected given the densities of sealworm observed over the time period. Marcogliese (1997) acknowledged the possibility that the mere presence of C. osculatum in seal stomachs could have an inhibitory effect on sealworm growth and/or fecundity. All seals used in that study were infected with C. osculatum, and adult sealworm, generally, were smaller and less fecund in that study than those from grey seals in other regions of eastern Canada (McClelland 1980). Interestingly, although Berland (1963) is usually cited as the first to mention that C. osculatum may competitively displace other anisakids in seal stomachs, he actually makes no mention of this phenomenon at all.

Hypothesis 3: abiotic effects

The Gulf of St. Lawrence is stratified into three layers most of the year, with a warm surface layer (30–50 m), a cold intermediate layer (30–100 m) typically defined by water temperatures less than 2 °C, and deep waters at about 4–5 °C (Fig. 9). Water temperatures became progressively colder in the Gulf of St. Lawrence after 1986, with the cold intermediate layer becoming progressively colder and thicker, increasing the bottom surface area covered with waters less than 0 °C in the process (Fig. 10; Gilbert et al. 1996). Grey seals typically forage and presumably deackete at depths within the cold intermediate layer (Thompson et al. 1991). Anisakid nematode eggs are passed into the water with the feces of the definitive host. Sealworm eggs sink in sea water at a velocity of 1.01 x 10^-4 m/sec (McConnell et al. 1997). Eggs of both sealworm and C. osculatum hatch at 1.7°C (Brattey 1990). However, only eggs of C. osculatum (L. Measures, Dept. of Fisheries & Oceans, Maurice Lamontagne Institute, Mont-
Joli, Quebec, personal communication), and not those of sealworm (Measures 1996), hatch at 0°C. Eggs of *C. osculatum* falling onto bottom sediments covered by the cold waters of the cold intermediate layer will hatch, but those of sealworm will not (Marcogliese et al. 1996). Presumably eggs of *Phocascaris* spp. are cold tolerant like *C. osculatum*, as this parasite has a northern distribution in fish, not being observed in the southern Gulf nor on the Scotian Shelf, and in the hooded seal, which summers off northern Canada and Greenland (Stenson et al. 1997). This parasite also increased in grey seals between 1988 and 1992 at Anticosti Island. Thus, changes in climate causing colder bottom temperatures may have led to the recent opposite trends in the abundance of sealworm and contracaecine nematodes in the Gulf of St. Lawrence.

We can also ask why the abundance of sealworm increased so much between 1981 and 1990. Perhaps the increase in seal numbers is only part of the answer. Inspection of Fig. 10 indicates that with the exception of 1984, only a small portion of the bottom surface area of the southern Gulf was at temperatures of 0°C or less between 1977 and 1989. Relatively warm bottom temperatures may have contributed to the increased abundance of sealworm through enhanced rate of development in poikilothermic hosts. A parallel increase in abundance was observed in nine species of fish including cod between 1985-86 and 1989-90 on Sable Island Bank off Nova Scotia (McClelland and Martell 2001), suggesting that factors on a geographically large scale, such as climate, may be at work. It is predicted that the groundfish industry in Atlantic Canada will encounter problems with sealworm if and when the fish stocks are rebuilt, especially if water temperatures increase, as is predicted in association with increasing atmospheric CO2 content and other greenhouse gases (Wright et al. 1986).
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