

Table 1. Estimated density and abundance of fin whales identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. Totals are shown for original and post-stratified (PS) blocks, as well as regional estimates (Figure 1), and with block IG post-stratified to eliminate overlap with the East Greenland survey (EG). IGIR_N covers the overlap area between the core survey and the fall capelin survey (CAP). *n* - number of sightings; *L* – effort (nm); *E(S)*- group size; *esw* – effective search half width (m); *f(0)* – probability density of the detection function at distance 0; *D*- density of animals (number nm⁻²; *N*- abundance, *N_s* uncorrected for perception bias, *N_c* corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL – upper and lower confidence limits; *p(0)* – probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	n	n/L	cv	<i>E(S)</i>	cv	<i>esw</i>	<i>f(0)</i>	cv	<i>D</i>	<i>N_s</i>	cv	LCL	UCL	<i>p(0)</i>	cv	<i>N_c</i>	cv	LCL	UCL
FC	31	3.17E-02	0.60	1.95	0.29	1013.1	9.87E-04	0.17	5.60E-02	4,357	0.75	706	26,904			5,014	0.75	813	30,926
FW	65	3.95E-02	0.33	1.34	0.08	1059.3	9.44E-04	0.11	4.74E-02	8,379	0.38	3,615	19,421			9,643	0.39	4,156	22,372
FW_E	20	2.92E-02	0.37	1.13	0.12	1293.9	7.73E-04	0.11	2.67E-02	1,693	0.48	589	4,866			1,948	0.48	677	5,602
FW_W	45	4.69E-02	0.37	1.42	0.07	980.3	1.02E-03	0.23	6.21E-02	7,094	0.41	2,757	18,254			8,164	0.41	3,172	21,012
IE	6	6.57E-03	0.71	1.00	0.00	1917.6	5.21E-04	0.23	3.17E-03	343	0.72	87	1,348			394	0.72	100	1,553
IG	198	1.17E-01	0.16	1.51	0.17	1636.6	6.11E-04	0.05	8.22E-02	7,722	0.16	5,588	10,670	0.87	0.03	8,887	0.16	6,395	12,349
IG_EG	196	1.18E-01	0.16	1.23	0.03	1643.7	6.08E-04	0.05	8.25E-02	7,502	0.16	5,442	10,342			8,641	0.16	6,232	11,982
IP	27	3.10E-02	0.58	1.11	0.07	1257.8	7.95E-04	0.15	2.58E-02	3,595	0.54	907	14,257			4,138	0.54	1,045	16,384
IQ	6	1.61E-02	0.25	1.17	0.14	1009.9	9.90E-04	0.35	1.64E-02	1,150	0.39	300	4,417			1,324	0.39	348	5,039
IR	40	3.04E-02	0.24	1.30	0.07	1378.6	7.25E-04	0.14	2.64E-02	2,866	0.34	1,436	5,721			3,298	0.35	1,649	6,599
IW	115	1.23E-01	0.14	1.41	0.06	1757.8	5.69E-04	0.06	9.34E-02	3,541	0.19	2,395	5,234			4,075	0.19	2,746	6,048
SW	3	2.54E-02	0.00	1.00	0.00	2000.5	5.00E-04	0.30											
TOTAL	495					1448.7	6.90E-04	0.04	3.93E-02	31,953	0.17	22,536	45,306			36,773	0.17	25,811	52,392
TOTAL_PS	495					1448.7	6.90E-04	0.04	3.98E-02	32,361	0.16	23,040	45,453			37,243	0.17	26,386	52,568
TOTAL_EG	493					1448.7	6.90E-04	0.04	3.92E-02	31,719	0.17	22,300	45,115			36,536	0.17	25,564	52,218
E	57					1448.7	6.90E-04	0.04	2.69E-02	6,393	0.53	1,759	23,226			7,357	0.53	2,026	26,707
WI	210					1448.7	6.90E-04	0.04	4.43E-02	14,651	0.22	9,044	23,736			16,862	0.22	10,385	27,377
W	435					1448.7	6.90E-04	0.04	4.94E-02	27,843	0.17	19,693	39,366			32,043	0.17	22,552	45,526
EG	225					1448.7	6.90E-04	0.04	4.85E-02	11,317	0.21	7,110	18,013			13,024	0.21	8,166	20,773
IG_N	7	4.42E-02	0.32	1.00	0.00	1643.0	6.48E-04	0.27	3.94E-02		0.55								
IR_N	10	2.04E-02	0.54	1.55	0.16	1522.2	6.57E-04	0.24	1.59E-02		0.50								
IGIR_N	17								1.88E-02		0.40								
CAP	38	1.08E-01		1.23	0.07	2131.0	4.69E-04	0.15	5.80E-02										

Table 2. Estimated density and abundance of common minke whales identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. Totals are shown for original and with block IG post-stratified to eliminate overlap with the East Greenland survey (_EG). IGIR_N covers the overlap area between the core survey and the fall capelin survey (CAP). *n*- number of sightings; *L* – effort (nm); *E(S)*- group size; *esw* – effective search half width (m); *f(0)* – probability density of the detection function at distance 0; *D*- density of animals (number nm⁻²; *N*- abundance, *N_s* uncorrected for perception bias, *N_c* corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL – upper and lower confidence limits; *p(0)* – probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	n	n/L	cv	E(S)	cv	esw	f(0)	cv	D	N _s	cv	LCL	UCL	p(0)	cv	D _c	N _c	cv	LCL	UCL
CG	12	1.59E-02	0.49	1.00	0.00	525.9	1.90E-03	0.17	2.79E-02	1,295	0.48	499	3,363			5.88E-02	2,726	0.52	994	7,477
CG_EG	12	1.62E-02	0.49	1.00	0.00	525.9	1.90E-03	0.17	2.86E-02	1,238	0.48	480	3,191			6.02E-02	2,606	0.52	957	7,100
FC	28	3.81E-02	0.61	1.14	0.06	472.8	2.11E-03	0.13	9.17E-02	7,779	0.67	1,867	32,411			1.52E-01	12,926	0.64	3,353	49,828
FW	8	9.49E-03	0.40	1.00	0.00	525.9	1.90E-03	0.21	1.67E-02	2,850	0.41	1,160	6,999			2.97E-02	5,072	0.43	2,071	12,423
IC	15	3.66E-02	0.41	1.07	0.06	499.7	2.00E-03	0.17	7.55E-02	6,469	0.46	2,544	16,454			1.48E-01	12,710	0.53	4,498	35,911
IE	5	1.41E-02	0.64	1.20	0.17	454.5	2.20E-03	0.32	3.76E-02	2,685	0.65	725	9,941	0.51	0.18	7.93E-02	5,655	0.73	1,364	23,441
IQ	0																			
IR	2	4.73E-03	0.81	1.50	0.33	377.5	2.65E-03	0.56	1.90E-02	1,001	0.81	163	6,131			2.30E-02	1,207	0.82	199	7,337
IW	5	5.27E-03	0.47	1.20	0.17	454.5	2.20E-03	0.32	1.43E-02	1,328	0.57	439	4,020			2.39E-02	2,218	0.53	797	6,172
SW	0																			
X	22	7.59E-02	0.82	1.18	0.09	444.5	2.25E-03	0.18	0.00E+00	0	0.00	0	0			0.00E+00	0	0.00	0	0
TOTAL	109					475.3	2.10E-03	0.07	2.88E-02	23,407	0.28	13,035	42,032			5.23E-02	42,515	0.31	22,896	78,942
TOTAL_EG	109					475.3	2.10E-03	0.07	2.89E-02	23,350	0.29	12,988	41,977			5.24E-02	42,394	0.31	22,811	78,789
TOTAL-I	39					475.3	2.10E-03	0.07	2.29E-02	12,756	0.29	7,090	22,950			4.40E-02	24,517	0.37	12,016	50,026
TOTAL-F	36					475.3	2.10E-03	0.07	4.16E-01	10,629	0.50	3,562	31,715			7.05E-02	17,998	0.48	6,470	50,069
CG_N	8	3.60E-02																		
IC_N	0	0.00E+00																		
IE_N	0	0.00E+00																		
IGIR_N	8	2.02E-02																		
CAP	1	6.90E-03																		

Table 3. Estimated density and abundance of humpback whales identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. Totals are shown for original and with block IG post-stratified to eliminate overlap with the East Greenland survey (EG). IGIR_N covers the overlap area between the core survey and the fall capelin survey (CAP). *n*- number of sightings; *L* – effort (nm); *E(S)*- group size; *esw* – effective search half width (m); *f(0)* – probability density of the detection function at distance 0; *D*- density of animals (number nm⁻²; *N*- abundance, *N_s* uncorrected for perception bias, *N_c* corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL – upper and lower confidence limits; *p(0)* – probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	n	n/L	cv	<i>E(S)</i>	cv	<i>esw</i> (m)	<i>f(0)</i>	cv	<i>D</i>	<i>N_s</i>	cv	LCL	UCL	<i>p(0)</i>	cv	<i>N_c</i>	cv	LCL	UCL
FC	5	5.11E-03	0.50	1.60	0.38				4.87E-03	379	0.63	99	1,449			571	0.72	108	3,009
FW	10	6.08E-03	0.32	1.20	0.11				4.35E-03	769	0.35	365	1,621			1,059	0.42	447	2,509
IE	7	7.66E-03	0.36	1.00	0.00				4.56E-03	493	0.37	231	1,052			966	0.52	359	2,595
IG	19	1.12E-02	0.53	1.37	0.08				9.13E-03	857	0.55	302	2,437			1,111	0.53	409	3,021
IG_EG	18	1.08E-02	0.53	1.39	0.09				8.93E-03	811	0.54	289	2,280			1,073	0.53	394	2,923
IP	0					1554.7	6.43E-04	0.09						0.69	0.21				
IQ	0																		
IR	50	3.80E-02	0.46	1.66	0.08				3.75E-02	4,076	0.48	1,598	10,396			6,051	0.50	2,335	15,686
IW	3	3.10E-03	0.71	1.00	0.00				1.85E-03	70	0.71	18	279			110	0.78	26	477
SW	0																		
TOTAL	94								8.18E-03	6,643	0.32	3,543	12,456			9,867	0.37	4,854	20,058
TOTAL_EG	93								8.11E-03	6,572	0.32	3,503	12,328			9,904	0.37	4,831	20,304
IG_N	8	5.05E-02	0.63	1.5	0.13				4.52E-02		0.65								
IR_N	45	9.20E-02	0.44	1.71	0.08				9.37E-02		0.45								
IGIR_N	53								8.78E-02		0.43								
CAP	38	1.08E-01		1.36	0.10	1255.0	7.97E-04	0.13	1.09E-01										

Table 4. Estimated density and abundance of blue whales identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. Totals are shown for original and with block IG post-stratified to eliminate overlap with the East Greenland survey (_EG). IGIR_N covers the overlap area between the core survey and the fall capelin survey (CAP). *n*- number of sightings; *L* – effort (nm); *E(S)*- group size; *esw* – effective search half width (m); *f(0)* – probability density of the detection function at distance 0; *D*- density of animals (number nm²; *N*- abundance, *N_s* uncorrected for perception bias, *N_c* corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL – upper and lower confidence limits; *p(0)* – probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	n	n/L	cv	E(S)	cv	esw	f(0)	cv	D	Ns	cv	LCL	UCL	p(0)	cv	Nc	cv	LCL	UCL
FC	0	0.00E+00	0.00						0.00E+00	0	0.00	0	0			0	0.00	0	0
FW	3	1.82E-03	0.92	1.00					1.28E-03	227	0.93	37	1,376			273	0.95	45	1,665
IE	0																		
IG	12	7.07E-03	0.48	1.17	0.14				5.79E-03	544	0.53	198	1,498			656	0.56	228	1,884
IG_EG	11	6.62E-03	0.50	1.18	0.15				5.35E-03	486	0.56	170	1,389			586	0.59	197	1,743
IP	2	2.30E-03	0.93	1.00	0.00	1318.5	7.58E-04	0.18	1.61E-03	225	0.95	26	1,954	0.83	0.15	271	0.96	32	2,296
IQ	0																		
IR	16	1.22E-02	0.51	1.38	0.13				1.17E-02	1,274	0.56	441	3,680			1,535	0.58	511	4,609
IW	6	6.21E-03	0.41	1.33	0.16				5.81E-03	220	0.48	86	564			266	0.51	99	711
SW	0																		
TOTAL	39								3.06E-03	2,490	0.36	1,234	5,022			3,000	0.40	1,377	6,534
TOTAL_EG	38								2.93E-03	2,379	0.37	1,164	4,861			2,866	0.41	1,301	6,314
IG_N	2	1.26E-02	0.6	1	0				8.87E-03		0.62								
IR_N	11	2.25E-02	0.76	1.55	0.16				2.44E-02		0.79								
IGIR_N	13								2.25E-02		0.76								
CAP	1																		

Table 5. Estimated density and abundance of sei whales identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. n - number of sightings; L – effort (nm); $E(S)$ - group size; esw – effective search half width (m); $f(0)$ – probability density of the detection function at distance 0; D - density of animals (number nm^{-2}); N - abundance, N_s uncorrected for perception bias, N_c corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL – upper and lower confidence limits; $p(0)$ – probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	n	n/L	cv	$E(S)$	cv	esw	$f(0)$	cv	D	N_s	cv	LCL	UCL	$p(0)$	cv	N_c	cv	LCL	UCL	
FC	0																			
FW	3	1.82E-03	0.66	1.00	0.00	795.0	1.26E-03	0.53	2.12E-03	376	0.70	92	1,527			453	0.72	110	1,867	
IE	0																			
IG	12	7.07E-03	0.89	1.25	0.10	2054.2	4.87E-04	0.23	3.87E-03	364	0.83	83	1,591	0.83	0.17	438	0.85	98	1,955	
IP	16	1.84E-02	0.64	2.19	0.20	2399.7	4.17E-04	0.12	1.55E-02	2,159	0.70	420	11,106			2,601	0.72	513	13,183	
IQ	0																			
IR	0																			
IW	3	3.10E-03	0.70	1.67	0.20	795.0	1.26E-03	0.52	6.03E-03	228	0.72	58	897			275	0.74	69	1,104	
SW	0																			
TOTAL	34					1695.3	5.90E-04	0.16	3.85E-03	3,127	0.51	964	10,142			3,767	0.54	1,156	12,270	

Table 6. Estimated density and abundance of sperm whales identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. IGIR_N covers the overlap area between the core survey and the fall capelin survey (CAP). *n* - number of sightings; *L* - effort (nm); *E(S)*- group size; *esw* - effective search half width (m); *f(0)* - probability density of the detection function at distance 0; *D*- density of animals (number nm⁻²; *N_s*- abundance, *N_s* uncorrected for perception bias, *N_c* corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL - upper and lower confidence limits; *p(0)* - probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	n	n/L	cv	<i>E(S)</i>	cv	<i>esw</i>	<i>f(0)</i>	cv	<i>D</i>	<i>N_s</i>	cv	LCL	UCL	<i>p(0)</i>	cv	<i>N_c</i>	cv	LCL	UCL
FC	14	1.43E-02	0.51	1.43	0.10				1.74E-02	1,356	0.54	359	5,123			4,992	0.72	1,252	19,896
FW	37	2.25E-02	0.52	1.30	0.11				2.49E-02	4,402	0.53	1,430	13,554			16,204	0.71	4,370	60,086
IE	4	4.38E-03	0.51	1.00	0.00				1.50E-03	162	0.51	58	451			213	0.54	74	611
IG	13	7.66E-03	0.54	1.23	0.10				3.23E-03	304	0.58	101	915			399	0.60	129	1,239
IP	8	9.19E-03	0.87	1.25	0.13	1482.9	6.74E-04	0.08	3.94E-03	548	0.75	86	3,483	0.34	0.42	721	0.77	117	4,447
IQ	0																		
IR	8	6.08E-03	0.49	1.25	0.20				2.60E-03	283	0.48	109	731			372	0.51	139	996
IW	14	1.45E-02	0.47	1.07	0.07				5.32E-03	202	0.45	79	514			265	0.48	101	698
SW	9	7.62E-02	0.00	1.00	0.00				2.61E-02										
TOTAL	107								8.93E-03	7,257	0.35	3,461	15,215			23,166	0.59	7,699	69,709
IG_N	0																		
IR_N	2	7.19E-02	0.61	2.00	0.50				5.11E-03	155	0.88								
IGIR_N	2								4.48E-03	155	0.88								
CAP	1																		

Table 7. Estimated density and abundance of long-finned pilot whales identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. *n*- number of sightings; *L* – effort (nm); *E(S)*- group size; *esw* – effective search half width (m); *f(0)* – probability density of the detection function at distance 0; *D*- density of animals (number nm⁻²; *N*- abundance, *N_s* uncorrected for perception bias, *N_c* corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL – upper and lower confidence limits; *p(0)* – probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	n	n/L	cv	<i>E(S)</i>	cv	<i>esw</i>	<i>f(0)</i>	cv	<i>D</i>	<i>N_s</i>	cv	LCL	UCL	<i>p(0)</i>	cv	<i>N_c</i>	cv	LCL	UCL
FC	21	2.27E-02	0.47	4.67	0.28				2.33E-01	18,114	0.54	4,924	66,637			24,427	0.55	6,740	88,525
FW	25	1.75E-02	0.21	2.64	0.34				1.11E-01	19,594	0.58	5,973	64,282			26,177	0.56	8,323	82,333
IE	14	2.31E-02	0.64	15.79	0.26				3.11E-01	33,641	0.60	10,178	111,199			42,528	0.60	12,935	139,824
IG	12	9.38E-03	0.43	18.17	0.24				1.77E-01	16,592	0.45	6,856	40,155			21,327	0.46	8,723	52,143
IP	8	1.35E-02	0.61	17.88	0.39	495.5	2.02E-03	0.07	6.95E-01	96,721	0.85	13,934	671,398	0.74	0.09	116,543	0.84	17,370	781,932
IQ	0																		
IR	24	2.03E-02	0.40	15.33	0.40				4.43E-01	48,110	0.42	21,138	109,495			60,428	0.42	26,541	137,580
IW	25	3.04E-02	0.33	32.52	0.27				1.20E+00	45,382	0.41	19,624	104,947			52,718	0.41	22,990	120,886
SW	5	4.23E-02	0.00	55.00	0.66														
X	20	1.08E-01	0.57	17.95	0.29														
TOTAL	154								3.42E-01	278,153	0.35	128,948	600,002			344,148	0.35	162,795	727,527

Table 8. Estimated density and abundance of northern bottlenose whales identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. *n*- number of sightings; *L* – effort (nm); *E(S)*- group size; *esw* – effective search half width (m); *f(0)* – probability density of the detection function at distance 0; *D*- density of animals (number nm⁻²; *N*- abundance, *N_s* uncorrected for perception bias, *N_c* corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL – upper and lower confidence limits; *p(0)* – probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	<i>n</i>	<i>n/L</i>	<i>cv</i>	<i>E(S)</i>	<i>cv</i>	<i>esw</i>	<i>f(0)</i>	<i>cv</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>N_s</i>	<i>cv</i>	LCL	UCL	<i>p(0)</i>	<i>cv</i>	<i>N_c</i>	<i>cv</i>	LCL	UCL	
FC	17	2.50E-02	0.78	2.76	0.20	529.5	1.89E-03	0.22	1.35E-01	10,472	0.94	1,367	80,213			11,384	0.94	1,492	86,861	
FW	7	7.71E-03	0.79	1.00	0.00	544.1	1.84E-03	0.34	1.31E-02	2,320	0.84	443	12,167			2,522	0.84	480	13,249	
IE	0																			
IG	2	2.09E-03	1.04	3.50	0.14	616.2	1.62E-03	0.50	1.10E-02	1,031	1.04	175	6,075	0.92	0.09	1,121	1.05	190	6,626	
IP	0																			
IQ	0																			
IR	3	3.36E-03	0.89	4.67	0.40	616.2	1.62E-03	0.41	2.35E-02	2,555	0.90	512	12,739			2,777	0.90	555	13,905	
IW	4	5.93E-03	0.77	4.75	0.18	500.1	2.00E-03	0.49	5.27E-02	1,996	0.78	453	8,797			2,170	0.79	490	9,606	
SW	0																			
X	1	7.57E-03	1.09	3.00	0.00	616.2	1.62E-03	0.00												
TOTAL	34					542.2	1.84E-03	0.15	2.26E-02	18,375	0.59	5,128	65,834			19,975	0.60	5,562	71,737	

Table 9. Estimated density and abundance of white-beaked dolphins identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. Density and abundance are corrected by including a proportion of the abundance of *L. spp.* based on the proportions of *L. albirostris* and *L. acutus* observed in each stratum. Totals are shown for original and with block IG post-stratified to eliminate overlap with the East Greenland survey (_EG). IGIR_N covers the overlap area between the core survey and the fall capelin survey (CAP). *n*- number of sightings; *L* – effort (nm); *E(S)*- group size; *esw* – effective search half width (m); *f(0)* – probability density of the detection function at distance 0; *D*- density of animals (number nm⁻²; *N*- abundance, *N_s* uncorrected for perception bias, *N_c* corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL – upper and lower confidence limits; *p(0)* – probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	n	n/L	cv	E(S)	cv	esw	f(0)	cv	D	N _s	cv	LCL	UCL	p(0)	cv	N _c	cv	LCL	UCL
FC	0																		
FW	0																		
IE	1	2.69E-03	1.11	10.00	0.00				3.70E-02	4,000	1.13	504	31,767			13,046	1.26	1,588	107,171
IG	3	3.13E-03	0.76	10.00	0.29				4.97E-02	4,666	0.78	1,123	19,379			15,216	0.96	3,001	77,141
IG_EG	6	6.67E-03	0.51	6.50	0.33				5.29E-02	4,809	0.78	1,158	19,959			15,682	0.96	3,096	79,466
IP	1	2.57E-03	1.09	15.00	0.00	673.0	1.49E-03	0.17	6.10E-02	8,499	0.96	953	75,838	0.31	0.55	27,721	1.11	3,425	224,357
IQ	1	7.28E-03	0.56	8.00	0.00				8.01E-02	5,616	0.58	48	659,486			18,317	0.80	2,686	124,887
IR	8	8.95E-03	0.61	7.38	0.33				2.04E-01	22,107	0.39	10,394	47,019			72,102	0.68	21,236	244,808
IW	6	8.89E-03	0.61	8.33	0.40				1.02E-01	3,863	0.70	974	15,316			12,599	0.90	2,624	60,495
SW	0																		
X	2	1.51E-02	0.89	3.00	0.33														
TOTAL	39								6.00E-02	48,752	0.31	26,562	89,478			159,000	0.63	49,957	506,054
TOTAL_EG	39								6.04E-02	48,894	0.31	26,653	89,696			159,466	0.63	50,111	507,467
IG_N	0																		
IR_N	0																		
IGIR_N	0																		
CAP	12	6.38E-02																	

Table 10. Estimated density and abundance of white-sided dolphins identified with high, medium and low confidence from the combined platforms using revised (non-compromised) effort sailed under acceptable conditions. Density and abundance are corrected by including a proportion of the abundance of *L. spp.* based on the proportions of *L. albirostris* and *L. acutus* observed in each stratum. Totals are shown for original and with block IG post-stratified to eliminate overlap with the East Greenland survey (_EG). *n*- number of sightings; *L* – effort (nm); *E(S)*- group size; *esw* – effective search half width (m); *f(0)* – probability density of the detection function at distance 0; *D*- density of animals (number nm⁻²; *N_s*- abundance, *N_s* uncorrected for perception bias, *N_c* corrected for perception bias; LCL and UCL – upper and lower confidence limits; *p(0)* – probability of detection at distance 0.

Block	n	n/L	cv	<i>E(S)</i>	cv	<i>esw</i>	<i>f(0)</i>	cv	<i>D</i>	<i>N_s</i>	cv	LCL	UCL	<i>p(0)</i>	cv	<i>N_c</i>	cv	LCL	UCL
FC	5	7.34E-03	0.26	1.60	0.25				2.15E-02	1,675	0.40	651	4,310			5,463	0.68	1,550	19,258
FW	9	9.91E-03	0.51	8.22	0.59				1.49E-01	26,385	0.62	7,328	94,999			86,053	0.83	19,436	380,991
IE	0															0	0.00	0	0
IG	2	2.09E-03	0.73	4.50	0.33				2.04E-02	1,921	0.68	544	6,783			6,267	0.88	1,385	28,354
IG_EG	2			3.60	0.29				2.18E-02	1,983	0.68	563	6,984			6,468	0.88	1,432	29,220
IP	1	2.57E-03	0.86	15.00	0.00	506.0	1.98E-03	0.17	7.32E-02	10,192	0.84	1,427	72,791	0.31	0.55	33,239	1.07	4,977	221,974
IQ	0																		
IR	0																		
IW	0																		
SW	0																		
X	3	2.27E-02	0.64	4.67	0.26											0	0.00	0	0
TOTAL	20								4.94E-02	40,173	0.48	15,334	105,248			131,022	0.73	35,251	486,981
TOTAL_EG	20								4.97E-02	40,235	0.48	15,376	105,286			131,224	0.73	35,327	487,430

Corrigendum

In the original publication, the values for *N_c* in the IP block were accidentally excluded from Table 10. In this corrected version, the abundance estimate of white-sided dolphins in the IP block corrected for perception bias, including the CV and upper and lower confidence limits, have now been included.